

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/624,503 07/23/2003 | | Meir Wilchek | WILCHECK6A 7397 | |
| 1444 | 1444 7590 09/01/2006 | | | |
| BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW | | | CEPERLEY, MARY | |
| SUITE 300 | , · · · | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303 | | | 1641 | |

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summers | 10/624,503 | WILCHEK ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley | 1641 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONET | l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju | lv 2006. | / | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6 and 11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 10</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-9,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement | | | | | |
| ordining) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | 1. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No In this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) Outline of References Cited (PTO-892) Outline of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Outline of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Outline Outli | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1641

1) Claims 1-13 are pending in this application. Claims 6 and 11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election of the "protein system" as it is defined in claim 5 was made without traverse in the reply filed on July 20, 2006.

- 2) Although specific claims may be discussed in the rejections below, these rejections are also applicable to all other claims in which the noted problems/language occur.
 - 3) The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4) Claims 1-4, 7-9, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the preparation of the composition of claim 5 which contains the components avidin {"protein"}, HABA {"ligand I"}, biotin {"ligand II"} and HABA-specific antibody {"molecule that recognizes the low affinity ligand I"}, does not reasonably provide enablement for the preparation of a "protein system" based on any "protein" other than avidin and comprised of components other than the components recited in claim 5. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Other than for the protein avidin and its derivatives, the specification contains no enabling disclosure for determining appropriate ligands which would have affinity for "binding sites" on any other "proteins". Also, there is no enablement for determining what constitutes an appropriate "binding site" for the purpose of the invention. For example, although a protein such as a zinc finger could be considered to contain an "affinity" binding site (for zinc) {corresponding to "ligand II"} and thus would be a "protein" within the scope of claim 1, it is unclear

Art Unit: 1641

what corresponding "low affinity ligand" {corresponding to "ligand I"} would be useful in combination with zinc and the zinc finger protein to form a "protein system" according to claim 1.

5) The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6) Claims 1-4, 7-9, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear exactly what is meant to be included by the term "binding site of said protein". Given the wide variety of types and configurations of "proteins", it is unclear what constitutes an appropriate "binding site" on any given protein which would fulfill the requirement of binding both an unspecified type of low affinity ligand and an unspecified type of high affinity ligand.

- 7) Claims 5 and 10 would be allowable if amended to be in independent form including all of the limitations of independent claim 1.
- 8) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley whose telephone number is (571) 272-0813. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le, can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

Application/Control Number: 10/624,503

Art Unit: 1641

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 18, 2006

Mary E. Ceperley Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 1641